

Search Report

STIC Database Tracking Number 280044

To: Examiner Michelle Location: KNX 05 A51

Art Unit: 3686 Date:07/17/2009

Case Serial Number: 10/797143

From: Aaron Gitzen Location: ElC3600

KNX 04 A70

Phone: (571) 272-3096 aaron.gitzen@uspto.gov

Search Notes

Dear Examiner Michelle:

Please find attached the results of your search for the above-referenced case. The search was conducted in Dialog.

References of interest are listed in the first part of the search results. Please scan through the remaining results for other possible references of interest.

If you have any questions about the search, or need a refocus, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Thank you for using the EIC, and we look forward to your next search!

Aaron Gitzen



I.	REFERENCES OF INTEREST	3
A.	Dialog	3
В.	Additional Resources Searched	5
II.	INVENTOR SEARCH RESULTS FROM DIALOG	6
III.	TEXT SEARCH RESULTS FROM DIALOG	9
A.	Patent Files, Abstract	9
В.	Patent Files, Full-Text	13
IV.	TEXT SEARCH RESULTS FROM DIALOG	16
A.	NPL Files, Abstract	16
В.	NPL Files, Full-text	19
V.	ADDITIONAL RESOURCES SEARCHED	24

I. References of Interest

A. Dialog

Dialog eLink: Order File History 15/3,K/3 (Item 3 from file: 350) DIALOG(R)File 350: Derwent WPIX (c) 2009 Thomson Reuters, All rights reserved.

0017111819 Drawing available WPI Acc no: 2007-826770/200777

XRPX Acc No: N2007-657404

Crop e.g. grain, grower's insuring risk evaluating method for use in Internet, involves obtaining geographic area yield of crop for particular geographic area, and obtaining benchmark grower for particular grower within area

Patent Assignee: DEERE & CO (DEEC)

Inventor: BABCOCK B A; HAYES D J; MCCOMB S J

Patent Family (1 patents, 1 countries)							
Patent Number	Kind	Date	Application Number	Kind	Date	Update	Туре
US 20070174095	A1	20070726	US 2006760848	Р	20060120	200777	В
			US 2006389685	A	20060327		

Priority Applications (no., kind, date): US 2006760848 P 20060120; US 2006389685 A 20060327

Crop e.g. grain, grower's insuring risk evaluating method for use in Internet, involves obtaining geographic area yield of crop for particular geographic area, and obtaining benchmark grower for particular grower within area Original Titles: System and method for evaluating risk associated with a crop insurance policy Alerting Abstract ... NOVELTY - The method involves obtaining a geographic area e.g. country, yield e.g. mean geographic area yield, of a crop e.g. grain, for a particular geographic area. A benchmark grower yield e.g. historic grower yield, is obtained for a particular grower within the particular geographic area via a yield sensor e.g. crop flow sensor, associated with a work vehicle e.g. aircraft. A difference between the geographic area yield and the benchmark grower yield is determined. A variability parameter indicating a variation in... DESCRIPTION - An INDEPENDENT CLAIM is also included for a system for evaluating a risk of insuring a grower... ... USE - Used for evaluating a risk e.g. loss caused by weather, hail, rainfall, temperature, soil characteristic, drought, frost damage, insect, and disease, of insuring a crop e.g. grain, grower with crop insurance, and for managing the risk associated with growing crops, in a network e.g. Internet......ADVANTAGE - The method enables the insurer to effectively estimate the risk associated with various types of crop insurance policies, and thus effectively estimating premiums or rates corresponding to the level of risk. ...

Original Abstracts: A system and method for evaluating the risk of insuring a grower comprises a communications interface for obtaining a geographic area yield (e.g., mean geographic area yield) of

a crop for a particular geographic area (e.g., county) for a corresponding time period. A yield monitor or a yield sensor, associated with a... ... benchmark grower yield (e.g., historic grower yield) for a particular grower within the particular **geographic area**. An analyzer determines a difference between the geographic area yield and the benchmark grower yield (e.g., historic grower yield). An estimator estimates a variability parameter indicative of a variation in forecasted grower yield in the geographic area. A data processor determines a forecasted grower yield, which may deviate from at least one of the geographic area yield (e.g., mean geographic area yield) and the benchmark grower yield, for the corresponding time period based on the difference and the variability parameter. A data processor determines a risk indicator based on the determined forecasted grower yield. Claims: The following is claimed: 1. A method of evaluating the risk of insuring a grower, the method comprising: obtaining a geographic area yield of a crop for a particular geographic area for a corresponding time period: obtaining a benchmark grower yield for a particular grower within the particular geographic area via a yield monitor or yield sensor associated with a work vehicle: determining a difference between the geographic area yield and the benchmark grower yield; estimating a variability parameter indicative of a variation in grower yield in the geographic area; determining a forecasted grower yield, which may deviate from at least one of the geographic area yield and the benchmark grower yield for the corresponding time period, based on the difference...

16/3,K/12 (Item 4 from file: 15)
DIALOG(R)File 15: ABI/Inform(R)
(c) 2009 ProQuest Info&Learning. All rights reserved.

02692625 557061031

Cat Modeling, Forecasting Tools More Sophisticated

Ha, Michael

National Underwriter v108n7 pp: 17-19

Feb 23, 2004

ISSN: 1042-6841 Journal Code: NUN

Word Count: 1914

Text:

...their risk accumulation within key target locations. Carriers are also asked to report their largest **levels** of risk accumulation in any one four-wall structure and model their losses for various...

...and also life insurance policies on top of that. So together, there could be multiple losses," he said.

Mr. Virkud added that CATStation, designed to work in tandem with AIR's desktop software CLASIC/2, allows more flexible reporting. Losses, he explained, can be reported on an annual aggregate and occurrence basis, by location, portfolio...

...or other user-defined criteria. It also allows insurers to manage every

aspect of their catastrophe risk in one application and analyze risks from the portfolio level down to underwriting decisions for individual policies. CATStation uses three modules: Exposure Concentration Analysis, Hazard Analysis and Loss Analysis.

* The Exposure Concentration Analysis component provides a geographical analysis of clients' existing exposure concentrations and finds out...

...or down to the single multi-location policy level.

* The Hazard Analysis module offers critical **catastrophe** and property **risk** information. It's designed to provide peril-specific characteristics of the property location, such as...

B. Additional Resources Searched

II. Inventor Search Results from Dialog

```
File 20:Dialog Global Reporter 1997-2009/Jul 14
         (c) 2009 Dialog
File 15:ABI/Inform(R) 1971-2009/Jul 14
         (c) 2009 ProQuest Info&Learning
File 610:Business Wire 1999-2009/Jul 15
         (c) 2009 Business Wire.
File 810: Business Wire 1986-1999/Feb 28
         (c) 1999 Business Wire
File 613:PR Newswire 1999-2009/Jul 15
         (c) 2009 PR Newswire Association Inc
File 813:PR Newswire 1987-1999/Apr 30
         (c) 1999 PR Newswire Association Inc
File 634:San Jose Mercury Jun 1985-2009/Jul 14
         (c) 2009 San Jose Mercury News
File 624:McGraw-Hill Publications 1985-2009/Jul 15
         (c) 2009 McGraw-Hill Co. Inc
File 625: American Banker Publications 1981-2008/Jun 26
         (c) 2008 American Banker
File 637: Journal of Commerce 1986-2009/Aug 10
         (c) 2009 UBM Global Trade
       9:Business & Industry(R) Jul/1994-2009/Jul 14
         (c) 2009 Gale/Cengage
File 275: Gale Group Computer DB(TM) 1983-2009/Jun 16
         (c) 2009 Gale/Cengage
File 621:Gale Group New Prod. Annou. (R) 1985-2009/Jun 08
         (c) 2009 Gale/Cengage
File 636:Gale Group Newsletter DB(TM) 1987-2009/Jun 22
         (c) 2009 Gale/Cengage
File 16:Gale Group PROMT(R) 1990-2009/Jun 22
         (c) 2009 Gale/Cengage
File 160: Gale Group PROMT(R) 1972-1989
         (c) 1999 The Gale Group
File 148: Gale Group Trade & Industry DB 1976-2009/Jun 29
         (c) 2009 Gale/Cengage
File 471:New York Times Fulltext 1980-2009/Jul 14
         (c) 2009 The New York Times
Set
       Items Description
        1308 AU=(CHEN, H? OR CHEN H? OR CHEN(2N)H?)
S1
         60 AU=(DONG, W? OR DONG W? OR DONG(2N)W?)
S2
S3
          31 AU=(COBURN, A? OR COBURN A? OR COBURN(2N)A?)
S4
          0 S1 AND S2 AND S3
File
     2:INSPEC 1898-2009/Jul W1
         (c) 2009 The IET
File 35:Dissertation Abs Online 1861-2009/Jun
         (c) 2009 ProQuest Info&Learning
```

```
File 65:Inside Conferences 1993-2009/Jul 14
         (c) 2009 BLDSC all rts. reserv.
File 99: Wilson Appl. Sci & Tech Abs 1983-2009/Jun
         (c) 2009 The HW Wilson Co.
```

File 474:New York Times Abs 1969-2009/Jul 15

(c) 2009 The New York Times

File 475:Wall Street Journal Abs 1973-2009/Jul 15

(c) 2009 The New York Times

File 583:Gale Group Globalbase(TM) 1986-2002/Dec 13

(c) 2002 Gale/Cengage File 256:TecTrends 1982-2009/Jul W2

(c) 2009 Info.Sources Inc. All rights res.

File 23:CSA Technology Research Database 1963-2009/Jun

(c) 2009 CSA.

File 7:Social SciSearch(R) 1972-2009/Jul W1

(c) 2009 The Thomson Corp File 34:SciSearch(R) Cited Ref Sci 1990-2009/Jul W1

(c) 2009 The Thomson Corp File 434:SciSearch(R) Cited Ref Sci 1974-1989/Dec

(c) 2006 The Thomson Corp

File 169:Insurance Periodicals 1984-1999/Nov 15 (c) 1999 NILS Publishing Co.

File 485:Accounting & Tax DB 1971-2009/Jul W1

(c) 2009 ProQuest Info&Learning

```
Items Description
S1
      61850 AU=(CHEN, H? OR CHEN H? OR CHEN(2N)H?)
       4406 AU=(DONG, W? OR DONG W? OR DONG(2N)W?)
S2
        119 AU=(COBURN, A? OR COBURN A? OR COBURN(2N)A?)
53
         0 S1 AND S2 AND S3
S4
```

File 348:EUROPEAN PATENTS 1978-200928

(c) 2009 European Patent Office

File 349:PCT FULLTEXT 1979-2009/UB=20090709|UT=20090702

(c) 2009 WIPO/Thomson

File 324:GERMAN PATENTS FULLTEXT 1967-200928 (c) 2009 UNIVENTIO/THOMSON

```
Items Description
Set
S1
       2174 AU=(CHEN, H? OR CHEN H? OR CHEN(2N)H?)
S2
        310 AU=(DONG, W? OR DONG W? OR DONG(2N)W?)
         52 AU=(COBURN, A? OR COBURN A? OR COBURN(2N)A?)
S3
S4
           2 S1 AND S2 AND S3
```

File 350: Derwent WPIX 1963-2009/UD=200944

(c) 2009 Thomson Reuters

File 347: JAPIO Dec 1976-2009/Mar (Updated 090708)

(c) 2009 JPO & JAPIO

File 344: Chinese Patents Abs Jan 1985-2006/Jan (c) 2006 European Patent Office

Set	Items	Description
S1	14116	AU=(CHEN, H? OR CHEN H? OR CHEN(2N)H?)
S2	1262	AU=(DONG, W? OR DONG W? OR DONG(2N)W?)
S3	6	AU=(COBURN, A? OR COBURN A? OR COBURN(2N)A?)
S4	1	S1 AND S2 AND S3

III. Text Search Results from Dialog

A. Patent Files, Abstract

File 350:Dervent WPIX 1963-2009/UD-200944 (c) 2009 Thomson Reuters File 347:JAPIO Dec 1976-2009/Mar(Updated 090708) (c) 2009 UPO JAPIO 6 JAPIO 6 JAPIO 6 JAPIO 6 JAPIO 6 JOSEPH 1984:Chinese Patents Abs Jan 1985-2006/Jan (c) 2006 EUROpean Patent Office

Set Items Description

51 62819 (RISK??? OR RIGKY OR RIGKINESS? ? OR EXPOSURE? ? OR VOLATILE? ? OR VOLATILIT? OR UNCERTAIN? OR LOSS?? OR GAIN??? OR LOSING? ? OR LIABILITY???? OR DAINGER? ?) (31N) (LEVEL???? OR RAIN??? OR RATE? ? OR RATING? ? OR THRESHOLD? OR LIMIT??? OR THRESH () HOLD? OR CAPACIT???? OR DEGREE? ? OR POSITION??? OR TOLERANCE? ? OR PORGABALIT???)

- 22 16957 SI(5N)(VALUE? ? OR AMOUNT? ? OR WORTH? ? OR RATE? ? OR VALUATION? ? OR PRICE? ? OR COST? ? OR INVESTMENT? ? OR QUOT???? OR PRICING OR CHARGE? ? OR CHARGING OR FEES OR FEE OR OBLIGATION? ? OR EXPENSE? ? OR PAYMENT? ? OR PREMIUM? ? OR TOTAL? ? OR ESTIMATE? ? OR SUM? ? OR PARAMETER? ? OR QUANTITY? ? OR MEASURE? OR NUMBER? ? OR COUNT? ? OR OUTGITION? ? OR DOLLARS? ? OR MONEY? ?)
- 33 637390 (GEOGRAPHIC? ? OR TRUE? ? OR REGIONAL? ? OR LOCAL? ? OR LOCATION? ? OR TOPOLOGICAL? ? OR GEOLOGICAL? ? OR GEOLOGICAL? ? OR SPATIAL? ?) (3N) (LOCATION? ? OR AREA? ? OR LOCALE? ? OR STEE? ? OR PLACE? ? OR SECTION? ? OR ZONE? ? OR GEOGRAPH?? OR CITY OR CITIES OR TOWN? ? OR COUNT??? OR STATE? ? OR COUNTR??? OR REGION? ? OR LAND? ? OR SECTOR? ?)
- 54 7874 \$2(5N)(INSURANCE? ? OR REINSURANCE? ? OR ASSURANCE? ? OR SURET??? OR GUARANT?R? ? OR UNDERWRIT??? OR THORMIT??? OR RISK()MANAGEMENT? ? OR RISK? ? OR LIABILIT??? OR POLIC?? OR CATASTROPH?? OR LOSS?? OR DAMAGE??)
- 55 596049 (INSUBANICE? ? OR REINSURANCE? ? OR ASSURANCE? ? OR SUBET??? OR GUARANITES? ? OR UNDERMITT?? OR INDEMNITY?? OR RISK() NANAGEMENT? ? OR RISK? ? OR LIABILITY? OR POLICY?? OR CATASTROPH?? OR LOSS?? OR DANAGE??) (3N) (RISK??? OR RISK? VOR RISKNISSS? OR EXPOSURE? ? OR VOLATILE? ? OR VOLATILE? ? OR TOLATILITY?? OR UNCERTAINT?? OR LOSSY? OR GANIT?? OR LOSING? ? OR LIABILIT??? OR DANGER? ? OR FINANC??? OR AMOUNT? ? OR COST? ? OR MON??? OR UNVESTMENT? ?)
- S6 80277 S3(5N)(SOFTWARE?? OR PROGRAM???? OR APP? ? OR APPLICATION? ? OR SOFT()WARE? ? OR SYSTEM? ? OR PACKAG???? OR AUTOMAT?? OR COMPUTERI??? OR INTERFACE OR MODILE? ?
- 57 45098 \$3(8M) (MAPP??? OR MAP? ? OR CHART??? OR DIAGRAM???? OR LAYOUT? ? OR REPRESENT????? OR GRAPHIC???? OR TABLE? ? OR PLOT? ? OR PLOTT??? OR GRAPH??? OR GRAPHIC??? OR PLOTT??? OR PLOTT??? OR PLOTTE?? OR PLOTT.??

S8	16957	S1 AND S2
S9	801	S8 AND S3
S10	367	S9 AND S4
S11	366	S10 AND S5
S12	63	S11 AND S6
S13	7	S12 AND S7
S14	63	S12 OR S13
S15	14	S14 AND IC=G06F

Dialog eLink: Order File History 15/3,K/2 (Item 2 from file: 350) DIALOG(R)File 350: Derwent WPIX

(c) 2009 Thomson Reuters. All rights reserved.

0017403672 Drawing available WPI Acc no: 2008-C24115/200817

XRPX Acc No: N2008-179985

System-level yield loss estimate determining method for memory organization, involves propagating statistical properties of variables of components to electronic system so that correlations between variables are preserved

Patent Assignee: INTERUNIV MICRO-ELECTRONICA CENT VZW (INTE-N)

Inventor: MIRANDA M; PAPANIKOLAOU A; ROUSSEL P

Patent Family (2 patents, 38 countries)							
Patent Number	Kind	Date	Application Number	Kind	Date	Update	Туре
EP 1873665	A1	20080102	EP 200775505	A	20070622	200817	В
US 20080005707	A1	20080103	US 2006817527	Р	20060628	200817	E
			US 2007769546	A	20070627		

Priority Applications (no., kind, date): US 2006817527 P 20060628; GB 200624846 A 20061213

System-level yield loss estimate determining method for memory organization, involves propagating statistical properties of variables of components to electronic... Alerting Abstract ... input unit a computer program product having instructions for executing a method for determining an estimate of system-level yield loss for an electronic system a machine readable data storage storing...... computer program product with instructions to perform the method for determining the estimate of system-level yield loss for the electronic system transmission of the computer program product over a local or wide... ... USE - Used for determining an estimate of system-level yield loss for an electronic system i.e. memory organization, to be fabricated using nanometer technology... ... ADVANTAGE -The method determines an estimate of system-level yield loss for the electronic system with individual components that subject to process variability leading to manufacturing... Title Terms .../Index Terms/Additional Words: LOSS: Class Codes International Patent Classification IPC Class Level Scope Position Status Version Date "Version 7" G06F-0017/50... ... G06F-0019/00 G06F-0017/50... ...G06F-0019/00 Original Publication Data by Authority Argentina Publication No. Original Abstracts: The present invention provides a method for determining an estimate of system-level yield loss for an electronic system comprising individual components subject to manufacturing process variability leading to manufacturing... ... One inventive aspect relates to a method of determining an estimate of system-level yield loss for an electronic system comprising individual components subject to manufacturing process variability leading to manufacturing... Claims: Method for determining an estimate of system-level yield loss for an electronic system comprising individual components subject to manufacturing process variability leading to manufacturing... ... What is claimed is:1. A method of

determining an estimate of system-level yield loss for an electronic system comprising individual components subject to manufacturing process variability leading to manufacturing...

Dialog eLink: Order File History 15/3,K/8 (Item 8 from file: 350) DIALOG(R)File 350: Derwent WPIX

(c) 2009 Thomson Reuters. All rights reserved.

0013901678 Drawing available WPI Acc no: 2004-081104/200408

XRPX Acc No: N2004-064797

Standardized risk assessment method for marine structures involves selecting condition and assigning condition with assessed value, and determining risk value based on degree of damage and location of at least one inspection point

Patent Assignee: CASSANI P (CASS-I); HALL W (HALL-I); O'BRIEN O (OBRI-I); STEARNS M (STEA-I)

Inventor: CASSANI P; HALL W; O'BRIEN O; STEARNS M

Patent Family (1 patents, 1 countries)							
Patent Number	Kind	Date	Application Number	Kind	Date	Update	Type
US 20030229509	A1	20031211	US 2002372692	P	20020412	200408	В
			US 2003413634	Α	20030414		

Priority Applications (no., kind, date): US 2002372692 P 20020412; US 2003413634 A 20030414

Standardized risk assessment method for marine structures involves selecting condition and assigning condition with assessed value, and determining risk value based on degree of damage and location of at least one inspection point Original Titles: Risk management system Alerting Abstract ...a drop down menu. A condition is selected and assigned with an assessed value. A risk value is determined based on the degree of damage and location of the at least one inspection point. ...DESCRIPTION OF DRAWINGS - The figure is a flowchart illustrating the standardized risk assessment method. Title Terms .../Index Terms/Additional Words; RISK; Class Codes International Patent Classification IPC Class Level Scope Position Status Version Date G06F-017/60 Main "Version 7" Original Publication Data by Authority Argentina Publication No. Original Abstracts: In the risk management system of the present invention, an inspector utilizes a personal digital assistant to assess the condition of inspection points... ... influence on the structure's building media (steet). The PDA is pre-loaded with the risk management system which is comprised of one or more previously quantified drop down menus or boxes from which an inspector can choose defined selections. Based upon initial selections by the inspector, such as defining the location and environment of the structure.

the system determines how the drop down box will be populated. The inspector selects the item in... Claims: WE claim: 1. A process of performing standardized risk assessment comprising the steps of: providing general characteristics of a structure and storing the general characteristics in a database; selecting information about the structure.... in the drop down menu; assigning an assessed value to the condition; and determining a risk value based on the degree of damage and location of the at least one inspection point.

Dialog cLink: Order File History 15/3,K/12 (Item 12 from file: 350) DIALOG(R)File 350: Derwent WPIX (c) 2009 Thomson Reuters. All rights reserved.

0011099651 Drawing available
WPI Acc no: 2002-035438/200205

XRPX Acc No: N2002-027146; N2002-157446

Vehicle risk assessment method based on vehicle location, involves adjusting costs associated with transaction in accordance with location of vehicle

Patent Assignee: INT BUSINESS MACHINES CORP (IBMC)

Inventor: BATES C L; JONES S P; NELSON E J; SANTOSUOSSO J M

Patent Family (3 patents, 3 countries)							
Patent Number	Kind	Date	Application Number	Kind	Date	Update	Туре
DE 10110579	A1	20011031	DE 10110579	A	20010306	200205	В
CA 2337780	A1	20011020	CA 2337780	A	20010215	200227	Е
US 7343306	В1	20080311	US 2000553010	A	20000420	200820	E

Priority Applications (no., kind, date): US 2000553010 A 20000420

Vehicle risk assessment method based on vehicle location, involves adjusting costs associated with transaction in accordance with location of vehicle ... Original Titles: Location-based vehicle risk assessment system Alerting Abstract ...the use of a vehicle over a given period of time includes tracking the vehicle location during at least one part of the time period actually associated with the financial transaction... ...partly on the basis of the fact that the vehicle is/was located at a location having an increased risk rating, ... DESCRIPTION OF DRAWINGS - A schematic representation of a system for assessing and evaluating risks on the basis of vehicle location. 12 Location Title Terms .../Index Terms/Additional Words: RISK; Class Codes International Patent Classification IPC Class Level Scope Position Status Version Date "Version 7" G06F-0019/00... G06F-0019/00... Original Publication Data by Authority Argentina Publication No. ... Original Abstracts: An apparatus, program product and method track the location of a vehicle during at least a portion of a period of the time associated with an economic transaction so that risks associated with the actual usage of the vehicle can be accommodated in the costs for... ... period associated with an economic transaction as to whether the vehicle is located at a location having an increased level of risk. Costs for the economic transaction are then adjusted based at least in part on the presence of the vehicle in a location with an increased level of risk. In one exemplary environment, car rental rates are adjusted based upon the actual usage of......

either event, usage that results in a vehicle being located in areas of comparatively higher risk can be accounted for in additional transactional costs, resulting in improved allocation of risk and minimization of economic inefficiencies. ... Claims: rental of a vehicle over a period of time, the method comprising: (a) tracking the **location** of the vehicle during at least a portion of the period of time associated with the rental, including detecting that the vehicle is located at a location having an increased level of risk: and(b) adjusting a cost associated with the economic transaction associated with the rental at least in part based on the location of the vehicle at the location having the increased level of risk; wherein tracking the location of the vehicle includes calculating the location of the vehicle at a point in time using a location sensor coupled to the vehicle and storing a timestamped entry in a database identifying the point in time and the calculated location of the vehicle at such point in time, wherein tracking the location of the vehicle further includes determining a current region for the vehicle from the calculated location, wherein calculating the location of the vehicle includes calculating a second location for the vehicle at a second point in time, and wherein storing the timestamped entry..... the database includes storing a second timestamped entry in the database for the second calculated location only if the region associated with the second calculated location differs from the region associated with the first calculated location.

B. Patent Files, Full-Text

File 348:EUROPEAN PATENTS 1978-200928
(c) 2009 EUROpean Patent Office
File 349:PCT FULLTEXT 1979-2009/UB-20090709|UT-20090702
(c) 2009 WIPO/Thomson
File 324:GERMAN PATENTS FULLTEXT 1967-200928
(c) 2009 UNIVENTIC/THOMSON

? **ds**

Set Items Description

- S1 136624 (RISK??? OR RIGKY OR RIGKINESS?? OR EXPOSURE?? OR VOLATILE?? OR VOLATILIT? OR UNCERTAIN? OR LOSS?? OR GAIN??? OR LOSING?? OR LIABILITY???? OR DANGER??)(3N)(LEVEL???? OR RANK??? OR RATE?? OR RATING?? OR THRESHOLD? OR LIMITY?? OR THRESH()HOLD? OR CAPACIT???? OR DEGREE?? OR POSITION??? OR TOLERANCE?? OR PORDABILITY??)
- 22 35860 SI(5N)(VALUE? ? OR AMOUNT? ? OR MORTH? ? OR RATE? ? OR VALUATION? ? OR PRICE? ? OR COST? ? OR INVESTMENT? ? OR QUOT???? OR PRICING OR CHARGE? ? OR CHARGING OR FEES OR FEE OR OBLIGATION? ? OR EXPENSE? ? OR PAYMENT? ? OR PREMIUM? ? OR TOTAL? ? OR ESTIMATE? ? OR SUM? ? OR PARAMETER? ? OR QUANTITY? ? OR MEASURE? OR NUMBER? ? OR COUNT? ? OR QUOTATION? ? OR DOLLARS? ? OR MONEY? ?)
- 83 852098 (GEOGRAPHIC? ? OR TRUE? ? OR REGIONAL? ? OR LOCAL? ? OR LOCATION? ? OR TOPOLOGICAL? ? OR GEOLOGICAL? ? OR GEOLOGICAL? ? OR SPATIAL? ?) (3N) (LOCATION? ? OR AREA? ? OR LOCALE? ? OR SITE? ? OR PLACE? ? OR SECTION? ? OR COUNT??? OR CEGOGRAPH?? OR CITY OR CITIES OR TOWN? ? OR COUNT??? OR STATE? ? OR COUNTR??? OR REGION? ? OR LAND? ? OR SECTOR? 2)
- 54 19803 \$2(5H) (INSURANCE? ? OR REINSURANCE? ? OR ASSURANCE? ? OR SURET??? OR GUARANT?R? ? OR UNDERWRIT??? OR INDEMNIT??? OR RISK()MANAGEMENT? ? OR RISK? ? OR LIABILIT??? OR POLIC??? OR CATASTROPH?? OR LOSS?? OR DAMAGE??)

- 55 984205 (INSURANCE? ? OR REINSURANCE? ? OR ASSURANCE? ? OR SUBET??? OR GUARANITER? OR UNDERWITT?? OR INDEMNIT?? OR TISK! (MANAGEMENT? ? OR RISK? ? OR LIABILIT?? OR POLIC??? OR CATASTROPH?? OR LOSS?? OR DAMAGE?) (3N) (RISK?? OR RISKY OR RISKINESS? OR EXPOSURE? ? OR VOLATILE? ? OR VOLATILIT??? OR UNCERTAINT?? OR LOSS?? OR GAIN?? OR LOSSING? ? OR LIABILIT??? OR DAMGER? ? OR FINANC??? OR AMOUNT? ? OR COST? ? OR MON!??? OR INVESTMENT? ?)
- 56 132769 S3(5N)(SOFTWARE?? OR PROGRAM???? OR APP? ? OR APPLICATION? ? OR SOFT()WARE? ? OR SYSTEM? ? OR PACKAG???? OR AUTOMAT?? OR COMPUTERI??? OR INTERFACE OR MODILLE? ?)
- S7 115918 S3(8N) (MAPP??? OR MAP? ? OR CHART??? OR DIAGRAM???? OR LAYOUT? ? OR REPRESENT?????? OR GRAPHIC???? OR TABLE? ? OR PLOT? ? OR PLOTT??? OR GRAPHIC??? OR GRAPHIC??? OR PLOTURE? ? OR PROJECT????? OR DEPICT???? OR ANALYSIS)

Dialog eLink: Order File History 18/3K/5 (Item 2 from file: 349) DIALOG(R)File 349: PCT FULLTEXT

(c) 2009 WIPO/Thomson. All rights reserved.

01626252

SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR MODELING CONSTRUCTION RISK USING LOCATION-BASED CONSTRUCTION PLANNING MODELS

systeme et procede de modelisation de risque de construction utilisant des modeles de planification de construction reposant sur l'emplacement

Patent Applicant/Patent Assignee:

- VICO SOFTWARE KFT; Vorosmarty Ter 7/8, H-1051 Budapest HU; HU (Residence); HU (Nationality)
 (For all designated states except: US)
- SEPPANEÑ Olli Pentti Petteri; 306a Ramona Street, Palo Alto, CA 94301 US; US (Residence); FI (Nationality) (Designated only for: US)
- WESSMAN Tim Marec; Rudolfintie 15 F 53, FIN-00870 Helsinki FI; FI (Residence); FI (Nationality) (Designated only for: US)

Patent Applicant/Inventor:

SEPPANEN Olli Pentti Petteri

306a Ramona Street, Palo Alto, CA 94301; US; US (Residence); FI (Nationality); (Designated only for: US)

· WESSMAN Tim Marec

Rudolfintie 15 F 53, FIN-00870 Helsinki; FI; FI (Residence); FI (Nationality); (Designated only for: US)

Legal Representative:

ROURK Christopher J et al(agent)

Jackson Walker L.L.P., 901 Main Street, Suite 6000, Dallas, TX 75202; US;

	Country	Number	Kind	Date
Patent	WO	200821259	A2-A3	20080221
Application	WO	2007US17828		20070810
Priorities	US	2006502690		20060811

Detailed Description:

...invention. System 500 includes production risk system 110 and Monte Carlo simulation system 502, weather risk modeling system 504, prerequisite risk modeling system 506, resource modification risk system 508, productivity rate risk system 510, material availability risk system 512, resource availability risk system 514, location risk modeling... ...operating on a general purpose processing platform.

[0094] Monte Carlo simulation system 502 utilizes the **location**-based construction planning system model and risk modeling systems of system 500 to perform a Monte Carlo simulation analysis of a **location**-based construction model. In one exemplary embodiment, Monte Carlo simulation system 502 performs a large.....statistical data associated with tasks, crew performance, resources, material availability, and other variables in a **location**-based construction model. In this manner, the effect of random variations in parameters affecting a.....tasks, including modeling of construction crews, can be accurately modeled so as to generate a **risk probability** distribution for construction completion, **costs**, and other items that have been modeled as fixed entities in the prior art but...

IV. Text Search Results from Dialog

A. NPL Files, Abstract

File 2:INSPEC 1898-2009/Jul W2 (c) 2009 The IET File 35:Dissertation Abs Online 1861-2009/Jun (c) 2009 ProQuest Info&Learning File 65:Inside Conferences 1993-2009/Jul 16 (c) 2009 BLDSC all rts. reserv. File 99:Wilson Appl. Sci & Tech Abs 1983-2009/Jun (c) 2009 The HW Wilson Co. File 474:New York Times Abs 1969-2009/Jul 17 (c) 2009 The New York Times File 475: Wall Street Journal Abs 1973-2009/Jul 17 (c) 2009 The New York Times File 583: Gale Group Globalbase (TM) 1986-2002/Dec 13 (c) 2002 Gale/Cengage File 256: TecTrends 1982-2009/Jul W2 (c) 2009 Info.Sources Inc. All rights res. File 23:CSA Technology Research Database 1963-2009/Jun (c) 2009 CSA. 7:Social SciSearch(R) 1972-2009/Jul W2 File (c) 2009 The Thomson Corp File 34:SciSearch(R) Cited Ref Sci 1990-2009/Jul W2 (c) 2009 The Thomson Corp File 434:SciSearch(R) Cited Ref Sci 1974-1989/Dec (c) 2006 The Thomson Corp File 169: Insurance Periodicals 1984-1999/Nov 15 (c) 1999 NILS Publishing Co. File 485: Accounting & Tax DB 1971-2009/Jul W2

(c) 2009 ProQuest Info&Learning

2 ds

- Set Items Description
- S1 378941 (RISK?? OR RIGKY OR RIGKINESS? ? OR EXPOSURE? ? OR VOLATILE? ? OR VOLATILIT? OR UNCERTAIN? OR LOSS?? OR GAIN??? OR LOSING? ? OR LIABILITY??? OR DAINGER? ?) (3H) (LEVEL???? OR RAIRS?? OR RATE? ? OR RATING? ? OR THRESHOLD? OR LIMITY?? OR THESH () HOLD? OR CAPACIT???? OR DEGREE? ? OR POSITION??? OR TOLERANCE? ? OR POSBABILITY??)
- 22 15904 SI(8H)(VALUE? ? OR AMOUNT? ? OR MORTH? ? OR RATE? ? OR VALUATION? ? OR PRICE? ? OR COST? ? OR INVESTMENT? ? OR QUOT???? OR PRICING OR CHARGE? ? OR CHARGING OR FEES OR FEE OR OBLIGATION? ? OR EXPENSE? ? OR PAYMENT? ? OR PREMIUM? ? OR TOTAL? ? OR ESTIMATE? ? OR SUM? ? OR PARAMETER? ? OR QUANTITY? ? OR MEASURE? OR NUMBER? ? OR COUNT? ? OR QUOTATION? ? OR DOLLARS? ? OR MONEY? ?)
- 63 1358516 (GEOGRAPHIC? ? OR TRUE? ? OR REGIONAL? ? OR LOCAL? ? OR LOCATION? ? OR TOPOLOGICAL? ? OR GEOLOGICAL? ? OR GEOSPATIAL? ? OR SPATIAL? ?)(3N) (LOCATION? ? OR AREA? ? OR LOCALE? ? OR SITE? ? OR PLACE? ? OR SECTION!? ? OR ZOWE? ? OR GEOGRAPH?? OR CITY OR CITIES OR TOWN? ? OR COUNT??? OR STATE? ? OR COUNTR??? OR REGION!? ? OR LAND? ? OR SECTOR? ?)

16

S4 101445 \$2(5N) (INSURANCE? ? OR REINSURANCE? ? OR ASSURANCE? ? OR SURET??? OR GUARANT?R? ? OR UNIDERWRIT??? OR THORMIT??? OR RISK()MANAGEMENT? ? OR RISK? ? OR LIABILIT??? OR POLIC??? OR CATASTROPH?? OR LOSS?? OR DAMAGE??)

55 3426828 (INSURANCE? ? OR REINSURANCE? ? OR ASSURANCE? ? OR SUBET??? OR GUARANITER? OR UNDERWITT?? OR INDEMNIT?? OR TISK (NAMAGEMENT)? OR RISK? ? OR LIABILIT?? OR POLLC??? OR CATASTROPH?? OR LOSS?? OR DAMAGE??) (3N) (RISK?? OR RISK? OR RISKNIESS? OR EXPOSURE? ? OR VOLATILE? ? OR VOLATILIT??? OR UNCERTAINT?? OR LOSS?? OR GAIN?? OR LOSSING? ? OR LIABILIT??? OR DAMAGE?? OR FINANC??? OR AMOUNT? ? OR COST? ? OR MOUN?? OR INVESTMENT? ?)

36 169516 33(5H)(SOFTWARE?? OR PROGRAM??? OR APP? ? OR APPLICATION? ? OR SOFT()WARE? ? OR SYSTEM? ? OR PACKAG???? OR AUTOMAT?? OR COMPUTERI??? OR INTERFACE OR MODULE? ?)

```
57 159004 51 AND 52

8 8344 57 AND 53

59 5360 58 AND 54

510 5343 59 AND 55

511 502 510 AND 55

512 12559 53(8N) (MAPP??? OR MAP? ? OR CHART??? OR DIAGRAM???? OR LAYOUT? ? OR REPRESENT???? OR GRAPHIC???? OR TABLE? ? OR PLOT? ? OR PLOTT??? OR GRAPH??? OR GRAPH???? OR DEPICT???? OR ANALYSIS)

513 92 511 AND 512

514 63 513 NOT PY>2004

515 6 80 (unique items)
```

15/3,K/10 (Item 1 from file: 35)

DIALOG(R)File 35: Dissertation Abs Online

(c) 2009 ProQuest Info&Learning. All rights reserved.

02048759 ORDER NO: AADAA-IMO96089

An integrated GIS-supported approach for flood risk assessment

Author: Elawad, Yasir Ali

Degree: M.A.Sc.

Year: 2004

Corporate Source/Institution: The University of Regina (Canada) (0148)

Source: Volume 43/03 of MASTERS ABSTRACTS, of Dissertations Abstracts International,

PAGE 915. 99 PAGES

ISBN: 0-612-96089-7

An integrated GIS-supported approach for flood risk assessment

This research presents an integrated approach for flood-risk assessment. The integrated approach is developed based on four components, which are hydraulic simulation, risk assessment, statistical analysis, and Geographic Information System (GIS). Specifically, GIS is used as a tool for visualizing the study area and presenting different levels of risk and their effects. It also serves as a pre-processing step for hydraulic simulation by... ...relationship between the flow-rate and the water surface level so as to determine flow-rates under predetermined levels of risk. Statistical analysis is carried out to calculate the return period of pre-determined risk level flow-rates and to characterize the risk criteria considering the factors that affect the peak flow-rate. A Fuzzy set risk model is then used to assess the flood-risk based on hydraulic simulation

and statistical analysis outputs.

The developed model is applied to a... ...results have been obtained showing that this model has the ability of systematically assessing flood-risk to support the related flood management decisions. Results obtained from the integrated risk approach also appear to be more realistic than the results obtained using traditional assessment methods...

15/3,K/14 (Item 5 from file: 35) DIALOG(R)File 35: Dissertation Abs Online (c) 2009 ProQuest Info&Learning. All rights reserved.

01468316 ORDER NO: AADAA-I9607305

EARTHQUAKE RISK; A GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION SYSTEM-BASED MODEL AND SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS, SALT LAKE COUNTY, UTAH

Author: HORTON, CARL ALBERT

Degree: PH.D.

Year: 1993

Corporate Source/Institution: THE UNIVERSITY OF UTAH (0240)

Source: Volume 5611A of Dissertations Abstracts International.

PAGE 4516 . 124 PAGES

EARTHQUAKE RISK: A GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION SYSTEM-BASED MODEL AND SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS, SALT LAKE COUNTY, UTAH

This thesis presents research results on a probabilistic assessment of expected losses to residential and commercial buildings due to seismically induced ground shaking within developable Salt Lake......Additionally, results on expected casualties to residential and employee populations as a result of expected level of property loss are presented. Salt Lake County is subject to the risk of earthquake ground-shaking from events likely on segments of 21 nearby faults, including major.....of (1) a mapping of probabilistic ground-shaking intensities, (2) an inventory of buildings by location, value, and frame type, (3) seismic damage functions that define structural performance as a functionintensity, (4) residential and employee population data, and (5) seismic casualty functions that define casualty risk as a function of building damage. The numerous effects of error and its propagation withindamage model can accommodate potential misclassification in ground-shaking zonation and still provide a reliable loss estimate. Seismic mitigation options, principally the effect of a seismic retrofit policy for commercial unreinforced...

B. NPL Files, Full-text

File 20:Dialog Global Reporter 1997-2009/Jul 16 (c) 2009 Dialog 15:ABI/Inform(R) 1971-2009/Jul 16 (c) 2009 ProOuest Info&Learning File 610:Business Wire 1999-2009/Jul 17 (c) 2009 Business Wire. File 810: Business Wire 1986-1999/Feb 28 (c) 1999 Business Wire File 613:PR Newswire 1999-2009/Jul 16 (c) 2009 PR Newswire Association Inc File 813:PR Newswire 1987-1999/Apr 30 (c) 1999 PR Newswire Association Inc File 634:San Jose Mercury Jun 1985-2009/Jul 16 (c) 2009 San Jose Mercury News File 624:McGraw-Hill Publications 1985-2009/Jul 17 (c) 2009 McGraw-Hill Co. Inc File 625:American Banker Publications 1981-2008/Jun 26 (c) 2008 American Banker

2 ds

Set Items Description

- S1 1717709 (RISK??? OR RIGKY OR RIGKINESS? ? OR EXPOSURE? ? OR VOLATILE? ? OR VOLATILIT? OR UNCERTAIN? OR LOSS?? OR GAIN??? OR LOSING? ? OR LIABILITY??? OR DAIGER? ?) (5H) (LEVEL???? OR RANK??? OR RATE? ? OR RATING? ? OR THRESHOLD? OR LIMITY?? OR THRESH () HOLD? OR CAPACIT???? OR DEGREE? ? OR POSITION??? OR TOLERANCE? ? OR POSABILITY??)
- 32 936631 S1(8H)(VALUE? ? OR AMOUNT? ? OR WORTH? ? OR RATE? ? OR VALUATION? ? OR PRICE? ? OR COST? ? OR INVESTMENT? ? OR QUOTAT?? OR SPICITION OR CHARGE? ? OR CHARGING OR FEES OR FEE OR OBLICATION? ? OR EXPENSE? ? OR PAYMENT? ? OR PREMIUM? ? OR TOTAL? ? OR ESTIMATE? ? OR SUN!? ? OR LEVEL? ? OR PAYMENT? ? OR OR UNITITY? ? OR EXTENT? ? OR MEASURE? OR NUMBER? ? OR VOLUME? ? OR COUNT? ? OR QUOTATION? ? OR DOLLARS? ? OR MONEY? ?)
- S4 640108 S2(8N)(INSURANCE? ? OR REINSURANCE? ? OR ASSURANCE? ? OR SURET??? OR GUARANT?R? ? OR UNDERWRIT??? OR INDEMIT??? OR RISK()MANAGEMENT? ? OR RISK(? OR LIBELIT??? OR POLIC?? OR CATASTROPH?? OR LOSS?? OR DAMAGE??)
- 55 16481050 (INSURANCE? ? OR REIMSURANCE? ? OR ASSURANCE? ? OR SUBET??? OR GUARANTER? ? OR UNDERWRIT??? OR INDEMNIT??? OR RISK() MANAGEMENT? ? OR RISK? ? OR LIABILIT??? OR POLIC??? OR CATASTROPH?? OR LOSS?? OR DAMAGE??) (4N) (RISK??? OR RISKY) OR RISKINESS? OR EXPOSURE? ? OR VOLATILE? OR VOLATILIT? OR UNCERTAIN? OR LOSS?? OR GAIN??? OR LOSING? OR LIABILIT????? OR DANGER? OR FINANCE?? OR ADMOUNT? ? OR COST? ? OR MONIT?? OR INSTRUMENT.
- 56 4739292 (GEOGRAPHIC? ? OR TRUE? ? OR REGIONAL? ? OR LOCALI? ? OR LOCATION? ? OR TOPOLOGICAL? ? OR GEOLOGICAL? ? OR GEOSPATIAL? ? OR SPATIAL? ?) (3N) (LOCATION? ? OR AREA? ? OR LOCALE? ? OR SITE? ? OR PLACE? ? OR SECTION? ? OR COURT?? OR GEOGRAPH?? OR CITY OR CITIES OR TOWN? ? OR COUNT??? OR STATE? ? OR COUNTR??? OR REGION? ? OR LAND? ? OR SECTIOR? ?)

S7 186291 S3(8N)(SOFTWARE?? OR PROGRAM???? OR APP? ? OR APPLICATION? ? OR SOFT()WARE? ? OR SYSTEM? ? OR PACKAG???? OR AUTOMAT?? OR COMPUTERI??? OR INTERFACE OR MODULE? ?

S8	936631	S1(5N)S2
S9	5807	S8(5N)S3
S10	7889	S8(20N)S3
S11	6082	S10(5N)S4
\$12	6055	S11(5N)S5
S13	1583	S12(5N)S6
S14	92	S13(5N)S7
S15	35	S14 NOT PY>2004
S16	32	RD (unique items)

16/3,K/5 (Item 5 from file: 20)

DIALOG(R)File 20: Dialog Global Reporter

(c) 2009 Dialog. All rights reserved.

34848113 (USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULLTEXT) PROGRAM STRATEGY SHOWS PROMISE, BUT CHALLENGES REMAIN - Part 1

GAO REPORTS

April 01, 2004

Journal Code: WGEO Language: English Record Type: FULLTEXT Word Count: 4272

(USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULLTEXT)

...standards for the types, quantity, and specificity of data collection and analysis associated with different levels of flood risk. FEMA has ranked the nation's 3,146 counties from highest to...

...be necessary to create accurate, useful maps for communities with lower flood risks. Defining the level of data collection and analysis for different levels of risk is important because obtaining and analyzing flood map data is time-consuming and expensive, and...

...its resources efficiently while producing maps that are accurate and useful for communities at different levels of flood risk. FEMA acknowledges the need to develop such standards, but has not yet developed draft standards...were aimed at making the digital flood map the future method for assessing flood hazard risk and setting federal insurance rates. Recognizing the importance of updating the nation's flood maps. Congress appropriated additional funds in...

NPL Files, Full-text (Part II)

File 637: Journal of Commerce 1986-2009/Aug 12 (c) 2009 HBM Global Trade 9:Business & Industry(R) Jul/1994-2009/Jul 16 (c) 2009 Gale/Cengage File 275: Gale Group Computer DB(TM) 1983-2009/Jun 18 (c) 2009 Gale/Cengage File 621: Gale Group New Prod. Annou. (R) 1985-2009/Jun 10 (c) 2009 Gale/Cengage File 636: Gale Group Newsletter DB(TM) 1987-2009/Jun 24 (c) 2009 Gale/Cengage File 16:Gale Group PROMT(R) 1990-2009/Jun 24 (c) 2009 Gale/Cengage File 160:Gale Group PROMT(R) 1972-1989 (c) 1999 The Gale Group File 148:Gale Group Trade & Industry DB 1976-2009/Jul 01 (c) 2009 Gale/Cengage File 471:New York Times Fulltext 1980-2009/Jul 16 (c) 2009 The New York Times

Set Items Description

- 51 1059658 (RIGKY?? OR RIGKY OR RIGKINESS? ? OR EXPOSURE? ? OR VOLATILE? ? OR VOLATILIT? OR UNCERTAIN? OR LOSS?? OR GAIN??? OR LOSING? ? OR LIABILITY??? OR DAINGER? ?) (3N) (LEVELY??) OR RANK??? OR RATE? ? OR RATING? ? OR THRESHOLD? OR LIMIT??? OR THRESH () HOLD? OR CAPACIT???? OR DEGREE? ? OR POSITION??? OR TOLERANCE? ? OR POSOBABLIT???)
- 52 526402 \$1(8H) (VALUE? ? OR AMOUNT? ? OR WORTH? ? OR RATE? ? OR VALUATION? ? OR PRICE? ? OR COST? ? OR INVESTMENT? ? OR QUOT???? OR PRICTING OR CHARGE? ? OR CHARGEING OR FEES OR FEE OR OBLIGATION? ? OR EXPENSE? ? OR PARMENT? ? OR PREMIUM? ? OR TOTAL? ? OR ESTIMATE? ? OR SUM? ? OR LEVEL? ? OR PARAMETER? ? OR QUANTITY? ? OR EXTENT? ? OR MEASURE? OR NUMBER? ? OR VOLUME? ? OR COUNT? ? OR QUOTATION? ? OR DOLLARS? ? OR MONEY? ?)
- 33 2073104 (LOCATION? ? OR ARRA? ? OR LOCALE? ? OR SITE? ? OR PLACE? ? OR SECTION? ? OR ZOUR? ? OR PLACE? ? OR GEOGRAPP? OR CITY OR CITYES OR TOWN? ? OR COUNT??? OR STATE? ? OR COUNTR??? OR REGION? ? OR LAUD? ? OR SECTOR? ?) (5H) (MAPP??) OR MAP? ? OR CHART??? OR TAGRAPP??? OR TAGRAPP??? OR GRAPH!??? OR GRAPH!???? OR TAGRAPP??? OR GRAPH!??? OR GRAPH!??? OR GRAPH!??? OR GRAPH!???? OR DISTURE? ? OR PROJECT????? OR DEPICT???? OR PLOTT???
- 54 386893 \$2(8N)(INSURANCE? ? OR REINSURANCE? ? OR ASSURANCE? ? OR SURET??? OR GUARANTER? ? OR UNIDERWRIT??? OR INDUMNITY?? OR RISK()MANAGEMENT? ? OR RISK(? OR LIBELIT??? OR POLIC?? OR CATASTROPH?? OR LOSS?? OR DAMAGE??)
- 55 10530797 (INSURANCE? ? OR REINSURANCE? ? OR ASSURANCE? ? OR SUBET??? OR GUARANITR? ? OR UNDERWITT?? OR INDEMNIT?? OR RISK() NAMAGER?!) (3H) (RISK?? OR RISK? YOR BLIABILIT??? OR POLIC??? OR CATASTROPH?? OR LOSS?? OR DAMAGE??) (3H) (RISK??? OR RISKY OR RISKNIESS? OR EXPOSURE? ? OR VOLATILE? ? OR VOLATILIT??? OR UNICERTAINT?? OR LOSS?? OR GAIN??? OR LOSING? ? OR LIABILIT??? OR DANGER? ? OR FINANC??? OR AMOUNT? ? OR COST? ? OR MOUN??? OR INVESTMENT? ?)
- 56 4542024 (GEOGRAPHIC? ? OR TRUE? ? OR REGIONAL? ? OR LOCAL? ? OR LOCATION? ? OR TOPOLOGICAL? ? OR GEOLOGICAL? ? OR GEOLOGICAL? ? OR SPATIAL? ?) (3N) (LOCATION? ? OR AREA? ? OR LOCALE? ? OR SITE? ? OR PLACE? ? OR SECTION? ? OR SOURE? ? OR GEOGRAPH?? OR CITY OR CITIES OR TOWN? ? OR COUNT??? OR STATE? ? OR COUNTR??? OR REGION? ? OR LAND? ? OR SECTION? ?

S7 190865 S3(8N)(SOFTWARE?? OR PROGRAM???? OR APP? ? OR APPLICATION? ? OR SOFT()WARE? ? OR SYSTEM? ? OR PACKAG???? OR AUTOMAT?? OR COMPUTERI??? OR INTERFACE OR MODULE? ?)

```
88 526402 $21(581)52
$9 526402 $31(1001)52
$10 3153 $9(581)53
$11 3455 $9(1001)33
$12 3455 $9(2001)33
$13 3365 $12(581)54
$14 3344 $13(581)55
$15 848 $14(581)56
$16 68 $15(581)37
$17 32 $16 NOT PY>2004
$18 27 RD (unique items)
```

18/3,K/1 (Item 1 from file: 9)

DIALOG(R)File 9: Business & Industry(R)

(c) 2009 Gale/Cengage. All rights reserved.

03272243 Supplier Number: 113893139 (USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULLTEXT) Cat modeling, forecasting tools more sophisticated.

(Technology Trends)

National Underwriter Property & Casualty, v 108, n 7, p 17

February 23, 2004

Document Type: Journal ISSN: 1042-6841 (United States)

Language: English Record Type: Fulltext

Word Count: 1834 (USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULLTEXT)

TEXT:

- ...their risk accumulation within key target locations. Carriers are also asked to report their largest levels of risk accumulation in any one four-wall structure and model their losses for various terrorism attack...
- ...allows insurers to manage every aspect of their catastrophe risk in one application and analyze risks from the portfolio level down to underwriting decisions for individual policies. CATStation uses three modules: Exposure Concentration Analysis, Hazard...
- ...risk scores. Clients can simply input the property address to standardize and geographically code the **location**, AIR said.
- * The Loss Analysis module helps insurers to assess the catastrophe loss potential of individual risks, policies and portfolios...
- ...said. In addition to recognizing exposed locations, total exposures and

potential total losses can be **estimated**, taking into account relevant policy conditions or **loss limits**.

Clients can specify an area of interest, such as a city, and Willis Ctrl can...

18/3,K/15 (Item 8 from file: 148) DIALOG(R)File 148: Gale Group Trade & Industry DB (c) 2009 Gale/Cengage. All rights reserved.

14772047 Supplier Number: 89024525 (USE FORMAT 7 OR 9 FOR FULL TEXT) Decision making under uncertainty--real options to the rescue?

Miller, Luke T.; Park, Chan S. Engineering Economist, 47, 2, 105(46) Summer, 2002 ISSN: 0013-791X

Language: English

Record Type: Fulltext; Abstract

Word Count: 17844 Line Count: 01493

...decision-makers are confronted with two projects that have the same expected payoffs and varying levels of variance, the riskier project should be selected. Therefore, ROA should be viewed as a...risk-neutral and use the risk-free rate, estimate a utility function and use the risk -free rate, use the real probability distribution and discount with the risk-free rate (instead of the risk-adjusted rate), or use the financial option approach but discount with the risk -adjusted rate. A comparison of these 'rules' changes and how/when to use them would promote the...or demand risk has not been resolved. A modeling approach accounting for the th ree levels of risk would help firms identify which risks impact which business activities.

Rules or techniques could be developed dependent upon which type of

V. Additional Resources Searched